

Item: 9

Policy and Resources Committee: 23 November 2021.

Treasury Management – Mid-Year Update.

Report by Head of Finance.

1. Purpose of Report

To provide a mid-year update in respect of the Council's treasury management function for the period 1 April to 30 September 2021.

2. Recommendations

The Committee is invited to scrutinise:

2.1.

The mid-year update, attached as Appendix 1 to this report, prepared by Link Treasury Services, the Council's Treasury Adviser, which covers the following elements of treasury management, in order to obtain assurance that the Treasury Management Practices have operated effectively for the period 1 April to 30 September 2021:

- An economic update for the first half of the 2021/22 financial year.
- A review of the Treasury Management Strategy Statement and Annual Investment Strategy.
- The Council's capital expenditure, as set out in the Capital Strategy and prudential indicators.
- A review of the Council's investment portfolio for 2021/22.
- A review of the Council's borrowing strategy for 2021/22.
- A review of compliance with Treasury and Prudential Limits for 2021/22.

3. Background

3.1.

Section 21 of the Financial Regulations confirms that the Council has adopted the key recommendations of Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy's Treasury Management in the Public Sector Code of Practice (the Code).

3.2.

The revised Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy's Code of Practice on Treasury Management in the Public Services (2011) further expands the definition of treasury management to include investment activities.

3.3.

The Council's investment priorities can be summarised as maintaining:

- The security of capital.
- The liquidity of its investments.

3.4.

The Council aims to achieve the optimum return on its investments commensurate with proper levels of security and liquidity. The risk appetite of the Council is low in order to give priority to security of its investments. This is in keeping with the nature of the Strategic Reserve Fund, which is to provide for the benefit of Orkney and its inhabitants, whilst having regard to the Fund's long-term obligations in terms of the decline and decommissioning of the Flotta Oil Terminal in the future.

3.5.

The Financial Regulations refer to maintenance of the Treasury Management Policy Statement and Treasury Management Practices as the cornerstone for effective treasury management and the requirement to report annually on the Treasury Management function.

4. Treasury Management Performance

4.1.

A detailed analysis of the Treasury Management Performance for financial year 2021/22, as at 30 September 2021, is attached as Appendix 1 to this report, and covers the following activities:

- Borrowing activity.
- Temporary loans.
- Strategic Reserve Fund.

4.2.

The conclusion of the analysis of performance is that existing treasury management practices have operated effectively over the first six months of financial year 2021/22.

4.3.

Recurring slippage continues to be a feature within the approved capital programmes. In financial year 2020/21 works valued at £8,551,000 were re-profiled into future financial years. Not only does this impact on the cost of delivering the capital programme works, it also delays the timescale over which the capital finance is required.

4.4.

The Council has established an authorised limit for external debt of £75,000,000 for the three-year period 2021 to 2024, together with an operational boundary of £65,000,000, as part of its Treasury Management Strategy for 2021 to 2024.

4.5.

The Council supports its capital financing requirement through a combination of borrowings and use of internal reserves. In determining this combination, the cost of raising additional finance or borrowing is compared against the opportunity cost of using internal reserves and balances, in that these funds could otherwise be generating an investment return for the Council. On the basis the capital financing requirement can be externalised through borrowings, and investment returns generated in excess of the cost of any borrowings to meet the requirements of the capital programme, the potential exists for a net saving to be realised by the treasury management function over the longer term.

4.6.

As at 30 September 2021, the Council's debt portfolio stood at £35,100,000, with loan maturities ranging over periods from one to 49 years. Overall this represents an average cost of borrowing of 3.26% per annum, with an average weighted duration of 37.2 years.

4.7.

The cost of this debt is managed as part of the loan charges associated with the capital programme and has been offset in the short term with surplus funds placed on deposit for periods of up to one year at an average rate of 0.23% for the first half of financial year 2021/22.

4.8.

Although there remains much uncertainty over interest rates, with the long-term trend prediction for rates to rise, the Council should be well placed to benefit from savings on loan charges over the longer term.

4.9.

The prime objective for the managed funds remains to maintain or increase their real value over time, while at the same time generating an annual return which meets the targets set by the Council. These objectives normally require to be measured over a number of years while acknowledging that abnormal fluctuations in the short term do create a cause for concern.

4.10.

The Head of Finance developed an Action Plan, in consultation with Hymans Robertson, to commence the process of implementing revisions to the investment strategy previously agreed by the Investments Sub-committee on 25 February 2019, including further diversification.

4.10.1.

Interviews with potential fund managers took place in August and October 2019 after which fund managers were appointed to three new mandates, as follows:

- Global Alpha.
- Global Private Loan Fund III.
- UK Strategic Alternative Income Fund.

4.11.

The process of diversification to the appointed Fund Managers to the new mandates commenced in financial year 2019/20, continued in 2020/21 and is ongoing in 2021/22, albeit at a slower pace than originally envisaged due to COVID-19 related volatility being experienced in the markets.

5. Corporate Governance

This report relates to the Council complying with scrutiny and its financial processes and procedures and therefore does not directly support and contribute to improved outcomes for communities as outlined in the Council Plan and the Local Outcomes Improvement Plan.

6. Financial Implications

The financial implications are contained within the body of the report.

7. Legal Aspects

7.1.

Treasury Management arrangements help the Council meet its statutory obligation to secure best value.

7.2.

Section 40 of the Local Government in Scotland Act 2003 provides local authorities with the power to invest money. This power may be exercised in accordance with regulations made by Scottish Ministers under this section.

7.3.

Section 95 of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973 states that every local authority shall make arrangements for the proper administration of their financial affairs and shall secure that the proper officer has responsibility for the administration of those affairs.

8. Contact Officers

Colin Kemp, Interim Head of Finance, extension 2106, Email colin.kemp@orkney.gov.uk

Shonagh Merriman, Interim Corporate Finance Senior Manager, extension 2105,
Email shonagh.merriman@orkney.gov.uk

9. Appendix

Appendix 1: Treasury Management Update – Mid-year review 2021/22.

Treasury Management Strategy Statement and Annual Investment Strategy

**Mid-Year Review Report
2021/22
Orkney Islands Council**

1. Background

1.1 Capital Strategy

In December 2017, the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy, (CIPFA), issued revised Prudential and Treasury Management Codes. These require all local authorities to prepare a Capital Strategy which is to provide the following: -

- a high-level overview of how capital expenditure, capital financing and treasury management activity contribute to the provision of services.
- an overview of how the associated risk is managed.
- the implications for future financial sustainability.

1.2 Treasury management

The Council operates a balanced budget, which broadly means cash raised during the year will meet its cash expenditure. Part of the treasury management operations ensure this cash flow is adequately planned, with surplus monies being invested in low-risk counterparties, providing adequate liquidity initially before considering optimising investment return.

The second main function of the treasury management service is the funding of the Council's capital plans. These capital plans provide a guide to the borrowing need of the Council, essentially the longer-term cash flow planning to ensure the Council can meet its capital spending operations. This management of longer-term cash may involve arranging long or short-term loans, or using longer term cash flow surpluses, and on occasion any debt previously drawn may be restructured to meet Council risk or cost objectives.

Accordingly, treasury management is defined as:

“The management of the local authority's borrowing, investments and cash flows, its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and the pursuit of optimum performance consistent with those risks.”

2. Introduction

This report has been written in accordance with the requirements of the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy's (CIPFA) Code of Practice on Treasury Management (revised 2017).

The primary requirements of the Code are as follows:

1. Creation and maintenance of a Treasury Management Policy Statement which sets out the policies and objectives of the Council's treasury management activities.
2. Creation and maintenance of Treasury Management Practices which set out the manner in which the Council will seek to achieve those policies and objectives.
3. Receipt by the full Council of an annual Treasury Management Strategy Statement - including the Annual Investment Strategy and Minimum Revenue Provision Policy - for the year ahead, a Mid-year Review Report and an Annual Report, (stewardship report), covering activities during the previous year.
4. Delegation by the Council of responsibilities for implementing and monitoring treasury management policies and practices and for the execution and administration of treasury management decisions.
5. Delegation by the Council of the role of scrutiny of treasury management strategy and policies to a specific named body. For this Council the delegated body is Policy and Resources Committee.

This mid-year report has been prepared in compliance with CIPFA's Code of Practice on Treasury Management, and covers the following:

- An economic update for the first half of the 2021/22 financial year.
- A review of the Treasury Management Strategy Statement and Annual Investment Strategy.
- The Council's capital expenditure, as set out in the Capital Strategy, and prudential indicators.
- A review of the Council's investment portfolio for 2021/22.
- A review of the Council's borrowing strategy for 2021/22.
- A review of any debt rescheduling undertaken during 2021/22.
- A review of compliance with Treasury and Prudential Limits for 2021/22.

3. Economics and interest rates

3.1 Economics update

MPC meeting 24.9.21

- The Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) voted unanimously to leave Bank Rate unchanged at 0.10% and made no changes to its programme of quantitative easing purchases due to finish by the end of this year at a total of £895bn; two MPC members voted to stop the last £35bn of purchases as they were concerned that this would add to inflationary pressures.
- There was a major shift in the tone of the MPC's minutes at this meeting from the previous meeting in August which had majored on indicating that some tightening in monetary policy was now on the horizon, but also not wanting to stifle economic recovery by too early an increase in Bank Rate. In his press conference after the August MPC meeting, Governor Andrew Bailey said, "the challenge of avoiding a steep rise in unemployment has been replaced by that of ensuring a flow of labour into jobs" and that "the Committee will be monitoring closely the incoming evidence regarding developments in the labour market, and particularly unemployment, wider measures of slack, and underlying wage pressures." In other words, it was flagging up a potential danger that labour shortages could push up wage growth by more than it expects and that, as a result, CPI inflation would stay above the 2% target for longer. It also discounted sharp increases in monthly inflation figures in the pipeline in late 2021 which were largely propelled by events a year ago e.g., the cut in VAT in August 2020 for the hospitality industry, and by temporary shortages which would eventually work their way out of the system: in other words, **the MPC had been prepared to look through a temporary spike in inflation.**
- So, in August the country was just put on alert. However, this time the MPC's words indicated there had been a marked increase in concern that more recent increases in prices, particularly the increases in gas and electricity prices in October and due again next April, are, indeed, likely to lead to **faster and higher inflation expectations and underlying wage growth, which would in turn increase the risk that price pressures would prove more persistent next year than previously expected. Indeed, to emphasise its concern about inflationary pressures, the MPC pointedly chose to reaffirm its commitment to the 2% inflation target in its statement;** this suggested that it was now willing to look through the flagging economic recovery during the summer to prioritise bringing inflation down next year. This is a reversal of its priorities in August and a long way from words at earlier MPC meetings which indicated a willingness to look through inflation overshooting the target for limited periods to ensure that inflation was 'sustainably over 2%'. Indeed, whereas in August the MPC's focus was on getting through a winter of temporarily high energy prices and supply shortages, believing that inflation would return to just under the 2% target after reaching a high around 4% in late 2021, now its primary concern is that underlying price pressures in the economy are likely to get embedded over the next year and elevate future inflation to stay significantly above its 2% target and for longer.
- Financial markets are now pricing in a first increase in Bank Rate from 0.10% to 0.25% in February 2022, but this looks ambitious as the MPC has stated that it wants to see what happens to the economy, and particularly to employment once furlough ends at the end of September. At the MPC's meeting in February it will only have available the employment figures for November: to get a clearer picture of employment trends, it would need to wait until the May meeting when it would have data up until February. At its May meeting, it will also have a clearer understanding of the likely peak of inflation.
- **The MPC's forward guidance on its intended monetary policy** on raising Bank Rate versus selling (quantitative easing) holdings of bonds is as follows: -
 1. Placing the focus on raising Bank Rate as "the active instrument in most circumstances".
 2. Raising Bank Rate to 0.50% before starting on reducing its holdings.
 3. Once Bank Rate is at 0.50% it would stop reinvesting maturing gilts.
 4. Once Bank Rate had risen to at least 1%, it would start selling its holdings.
- **COVID-19 vaccines.** These have been the game changer which have enormously boosted confidence that **life in the UK could largely return to normal during the summer** after a third wave of the virus threatened to overwhelm hospitals in the spring. With the household saving rate having been exceptionally high since the first lockdown in March 2020, there is plenty of pent-up demand and purchasing power stored up for services in hard hit sectors like restaurants, travel and hotels. The big

question is whether mutations of the virus could develop which render current vaccines ineffective, as opposed to how quickly vaccines can be modified to deal with them and enhanced testing programmes be implemented to contain their spread.

US. See comments below on US treasury yields.

EU. The slow roll out of vaccines initially delayed economic recovery in early 2021 but the vaccination rate has picked up sharply since then. After a contraction in GDP of -0.3% in Q1, Q2 came in with strong growth of 2%, which is likely to continue into Q3, though some countries more dependent on tourism may struggle. Recent sharp increases in gas and electricity prices have increased overall inflationary pressures but the ECB is likely to see these as being only transitory after an initial burst through to around 4%, so is unlikely to be raising rates for a considerable time.

German general election. With the CDU/CSU and SPD both having won around 24-26% of the vote in the September general election, the composition of Germany's next coalition government may not be agreed by the end of 2021. An SPD-led coalition would probably pursue a slightly less restrictive fiscal policy, but any change of direction from a CDU/CSU led coalition government is likely to be small. However, with Angela Merkel standing down as Chancellor as soon as a coalition is formed, there will be a hole in overall EU leadership which will be difficult to fill.

China. After a concerted effort to get on top of the virus outbreak in Q1 2020, economic recovery was strong in the rest of the year; this enabled China to recover all the initial contraction. During 2020, policy makers both quashed the virus and implemented a programme of monetary and fiscal support that was particularly effective at stimulating short-term growth. At the same time, China's economy benefited from the shift towards online spending by consumers in developed markets. These factors helped to explain its comparative outperformance compared to western economies during 2020 and earlier in 2021. However, the pace of economic growth has now fallen back after this initial surge of recovery from the pandemic and China is now struggling to contain the spread of the Delta variant through sharp local lockdowns - which will also depress economic growth. There are also questions as to how effective Chinese vaccines are proving. In addition, recent regulatory actions motivated by a political agenda to channel activities into officially approved directions, are also likely to reduce the dynamism and long-term growth of the Chinese economy.

Japan. 2021 has been a patchy year in combating Covid. However, after a slow start, nearly 50% of the population are now vaccinated and Covid case numbers are falling. After a weak Q3 there is likely to be a strong recovery in Q4. The Bank of Japan is continuing its very loose monetary policy but with little prospect of getting inflation back above 1% towards its target of 2%, any time soon: indeed, inflation was negative in July. New Prime Minister Kishida has promised a large fiscal stimulus package after the November general election – which his party is likely to win.

World growth. World growth was in recession in 2020 but recovered during 2021 until starting to lose momentum more recently. Inflation has been rising due to increases in gas and electricity prices, shipping costs and supply shortages, although these should subside during 2022. It is likely that we are heading into a period where there will be a reversal of **world globalisation** and a decoupling of western countries from dependence on China to supply products, and vice versa. This is likely to reduce world growth rates from those in prior decades.

Supply shortages. The pandemic and extreme weather events have been highly disruptive of extended worldwide supply chains. At the current time there are major queues of ships unable to unload their goods at ports in New York, California and China. Such issues have led to mis-distribution of shipping containers around the world and have contributed to a huge increase in the cost of shipping. Combined with a shortage of semi-conductors, these issues have had a disruptive impact on production in many countries. Many western countries are also hitting up against a difficulty in filling job vacancies. It is expected that these issues will be gradually sorted out, but they are currently contributing to a spike upwards in inflation and shortages of materials and goods on shelves.

3.2 Interest rate forecasts

The Council's treasury advisor, Link Group, provided the following forecasts on 29 September 2021 (PWLB rates are certainty rates, gilt yields plus 80bps):

Link Group Interest Rate View		29.9.21								
	Dec-21	Mar-22	Jun-22	Sep-22	Dec-22	Mar-23	Jun-23	Sep-23	Dec-23	Mar-24
BANK RATE	0.10	0.10	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.75
3 month ave earnings	0.10	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.30	0.40	0.50	0.50	0.60	0.70
6 month ave earnings	0.20	0.20	0.30	0.30	0.40	0.50	0.60	0.60	0.70	0.80
12 month ave earnings	0.30	0.40	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.60	0.70	0.80	0.90	1.00
5 yr PWLB	1.40	1.40	1.50	1.50	1.60	1.60	1.60	1.70	1.70	1.70
10 yr PWLB	1.80	1.80	1.90	1.90	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.10	2.10	2.10
25 yr PWLB	2.20	2.20	2.30	2.30	2.40	2.40	2.40	2.50	2.50	2.60
50 yr PWLB	2.00	2.00	2.10	2.20	2.20	2.20	2.20	2.30	2.30	2.40

Additional notes by Link on this forecast table: -

- *LIBOR and LIBID rates will cease from the end of 2021. Work is currently progressing to replace LIBOR with a rate based on SONIA (Sterling Overnight Index Average). In the meantime, our forecasts are based on expected average earnings by local authorities for 3 to 12 months.*
- *Our forecasts for average earnings are averages i.e., rates offered by individual banks may differ significantly from these averages, reflecting their different needs for borrowing short term cash at any one point in time.*

The coronavirus outbreak has done huge economic damage to the UK and to economies around the world. After the Bank of England took emergency action in March 2020 to cut Bank Rate to 0.10%, it left Bank Rate unchanged at its subsequent meetings.

As shown in the forecast table above, one increase in Bank Rate from 0.10% to 0.25% has now been included in quarter 2 of 2022/23, a second increase to 0.50% in quarter 2 of 2023/24 and a third one to 0.75% in quarter 4 of 2023/24.

Significant risks to the forecasts

- COVID vaccines do not work to combat new mutations and/or new vaccines take longer than anticipated to be developed for successful implementation.
- The pandemic causes major long-term scarring of the economy.
- The Government implements an austerity programme that suppresses GDP growth.
- The MPC tightens monetary policy too early – by raising Bank Rate or unwinding QE.
- The MPC tightens monetary policy too late to ward off building inflationary pressures.
- Major stock markets e.g., in the US, become increasingly judged as being over-valued and susceptible to major price corrections. Central banks become increasingly exposed to the “moral hazard” risks of having to buy shares and corporate bonds to reduce the impact of major financial market selloffs on the general economy.
- Geo-political risks are widespread e.g., German general election in September 2021 produces an unstable coalition or minority government and a void in high-profile leadership in the EU when Angela Merkel steps down as Chancellor of Germany; on-going global power influence struggles between Russia/China/US.

The balance of risks to the UK economy: -

- The overall balance of risks to economic growth in the UK is now to the downside, including residual risks from Covid and its variants - both domestically and their potential effects worldwide.

Forecasts for Bank Rate

Bank Rate is not expected to go up fast after the initial rate rise as the supply potential of the economy has not generally taken a major hit during the pandemic, so should be able to cope well with meeting demand without causing inflation to remain elevated in the medium-term, or to inhibit inflation from falling back towards the MPC's 2% target after the surge to around 4% towards the end of 2021. Three increases in Bank rate are forecast in the period to March 2024, ending at 0.75%. However, these forecasts may well need changing within a relatively short time frame for the following reasons: -

- There are increasing grounds for viewing the economic recovery as running out of steam during the summer and now into the autumn. This could lead into stagflation which would create a dilemma for the MPC as to which way to face.
- Will some current key supply shortages e.g., petrol and diesel, spill over into causing economic activity in some sectors to take a significant hit?
- Rising gas and electricity prices in October and next April and increase in other prices caused by supply shortages and increases in taxation next April, are already going to deflate consumer spending power without the MPC having to take any action on Bank Rate to cool inflation. Then we have the Government's upcoming budget in October, which could also end up in reducing consumer spending power.
- On the other hand, consumers are sitting on around £200bn of excess savings left over from the pandemic so when will they spend this sum, in part or in total.
- There are 1.6 million people coming off furlough at the end of September; how many of those will not have jobs on 1st October and will, therefore, be available to fill labour shortages in many sectors of the economy? So, supply shortages which have been driving up both wages and costs, could reduce significantly within the next six months or so and alleviate the MPC's current concerns.
- There is a risk that there could be further nasty surprises on the Covid front, on top of the flu season this winter, which could depress economic activity.

In summary, with the high level of uncertainty prevailing on several different fronts, it is likely that these forecasts will need to be revised again soon - in line with what the new news is.

It also needs to be borne in mind that Bank Rate being cut to 0.10% was an emergency measure to deal with the Covid crisis hitting the UK in March 2020. At any time, the MPC could decide to simply take away that final emergency cut from 0.25% to 0.10% on the grounds of it no longer being warranted and as a step forward in the return to normalisation. In addition, any Bank Rate under 1% is both highly unusual and highly supportive of economic growth.

Forecasts for PWLB rates and gilt and treasury yields

As the interest forecast table for PWLB certainty rates above shows, there is likely to be a steady rise over the forecast period, with some degree of uplift due to rising treasury yields in the US.

There is likely to be **exceptional volatility and unpredictability in respect of gilt yields and PWLB rates** due to the following factors: -

- How strongly will changes in gilt yields be correlated to changes in US treasury yields?
- Will the Fed take action to counter increasing treasury yields if they rise beyond a yet unspecified level?
- Would the MPC act to counter increasing gilt yields if they rise beyond a yet unspecified level?
- How strong will inflationary pressures turn out to be in both the US and the UK and so impact treasury and gilt yields?
- How will central banks implement their new average or sustainable level inflation monetary policies?
- How well will central banks manage the withdrawal of QE purchases of their national bonds i.e., without causing a panic reaction in financial markets as happened in the "taper tantrums" in the US in 2013?
- Will exceptional volatility be focused on the short or long-end of the yield curve, or both?

The forecasts are also predicated on an assumption that there is no break-up of the Eurozone or EU within our forecasting period, despite the major challenges that are looming up, and that there are no major ructions

in international relations, especially between the US and China / North Korea and Iran, which have a major impact on international trade and world GDP growth.

Gilt and treasury yields

Since the start of 2021, there has been a lot of volatility in gilt yields, and hence PWLB rates. During the first part of the year, US President Biden's, and the Democratic party's determination to push through a \$1.9trn (equivalent to 8.8% of GDP) fiscal boost for the US economy as a recovery package from the Covid pandemic was what unsettled financial markets. However, this was in addition to the \$900bn support package already passed in December 2020 under President Trump. This was then followed by additional Democratic ambition to spend further huge sums on infrastructure and an American family plan over the next decade which are caught up in Democrat / Republican haggling. The financial markets were alarmed that all this stimulus, which is much bigger than in other western economies, was happening at a time in the US when: -

1. A fast vaccination programme has enabled a rapid opening up of the economy.
2. The economy had already been growing strongly during 2021.
3. It started from a position of little spare capacity due to less severe lockdown measures than in many other countries. A combination of shortage of labour and supply bottle necks is likely to stoke inflationary pressures more in the US than in other countries.
4. And the Fed was still providing monetary stimulus through monthly QE purchases.

These factors could cause an excess of demand in the economy which could then unleash stronger and more sustained inflationary pressures in the US than in other western countries. This could then force the Fed to take much earlier action to start tapering monthly QE purchases and/or increasing the Fed rate from near zero, despite their stated policy being to target average inflation. It is notable that some Fed members have moved forward their expectation of when the first increases in the Fed rate will occur in recent Fed meetings. In addition, more recently, shortages of workers appear to be stoking underlying wage inflationary pressures which are likely to feed through into CPI inflation. A run of strong monthly jobs growth figures could be enough to meet the threshold set by the Fed of "substantial further progress towards the goal of reaching full employment". However, the weak growth in August, (announced 3.9.21), has spiked anticipation that tapering of monthly QE purchases could start by the end of 2021. These purchases are currently acting as downward pressure on treasury yields. As the US financial markets are, by far, the biggest financial markets in the world, any trend upwards in the US will invariably impact and influence financial markets in other countries. However, during June and July, longer term yields fell sharply; even the large non-farm payroll increase in the first week of August seemed to cause the markets little concern, which is somewhat puzzling, particularly in the context of the concerns of many commentators that inflation may not be as transitory as the Fed is expecting it to be. Indeed, inflation pressures and erosion of surplus economic capacity look much stronger in the US than in the UK. **As an average since 2011, there has been a 75% correlation between movements in 10 year treasury yields and 10 year gilt yields. This is a significant UPWARD RISK exposure to our forecasts for longer term PWLB rates. However, gilt yields and treasury yields do not always move in unison.**

There are also possible **DOWNSIDE RISKS** from the huge sums of cash that the UK populace have saved during the pandemic; when savings accounts earn little interest, it is likely that some of this cash mountain could end up being invested in bonds and so push up demand for bonds and support their prices i.e., this would help to keep their yields down. How this will interplay with the Bank of England eventually getting round to not reinvesting maturing gilts and then later selling gilts, will be interesting to keep an eye on.

The balance of risks to medium to long term PWLB rates: -

- There is a balance of upside risks to forecasts for medium to long term PWLB rates.

A new era – a fundamental shift in central bank monetary policy

One of the key results of the pandemic has been a fundamental rethinking and shift in monetary policy by major central banks like the Fed, the Bank of England and the ECB, to tolerate a higher level of inflation than in the previous two decades when inflation was the prime target to bear down on so as to stop it going above a target rate. There is now also a greater emphasis on other targets for monetary policy than just inflation, especially on 'achieving broad and inclusive "maximum" employment in its entirety' in the US before consideration would be given to increasing rates.

- The Fed in America has gone furthest in adopting a monetary policy based on a clear goal of allowing the inflation target to be symmetrical, (rather than a ceiling to keep under), so that inflation averages out the dips down and surges above the target rate, over an unspecified period of time.
- The Bank of England has also amended its target for monetary policy so that inflation should be 'sustainably over 2%' and the ECB now has a similar policy.

- **For local authorities, this means that investment interest rates and very short term PWLB rates will not be rising as quickly or as high as in previous decades when the economy recovers from a downturn and the recovery eventually runs out of spare capacity to fuel continuing expansion.**
- Labour market liberalisation since the 1970s has helped to break the wage-price spirals that fuelled high levels of inflation and has now set inflation on a lower path which makes this shift in monetary policy practicable. In addition, recent changes in flexible employment practices, the rise of the gig economy and technological changes, will all help to lower inflationary pressures.
- Governments will also be concerned to see interest rates stay lower as every rise in central rates will add to the cost of vastly expanded levels of national debt; (in the UK this is £21bn for each 1% rise in rates). On the other hand, higher levels of inflation will help to erode the real value of total public debt.

4. Treasury Management Strategy Statement and Annual Investment Strategy Update

The Treasury Management Strategy Statement, (TMSS), for 2021/22 was approved by this Council on 16 February 2021.

- There are no policy changes to the TMSS; the details in this report update the position in the light of the updated economic position and budgetary changes already approved. OR

5. The Council's Capital Position (Prudential Indicators)

This part of the report is structured to update:

- The Council's capital expenditure plans.
- How these plans are being financed.
- The impact of the changes in the capital expenditure plans on the prudential indicators and the underlying need to borrow; and
- Compliance with the limits in place for borrowing activity.

5.1 Prudential Indicator for Capital Expenditure

This table shows the revised estimates for capital expenditure and the changes since the capital programme was agreed at the Budget.

Capital Expenditure by Service	2021/22 Original Estimate £m	2021/22 Revised Estimate £m	Actual capital expenditure 30 September 2021 £m	2021/22 Probable Out-turn £m
Social Care	4.340	0.589	(0.02)	0.575
Roads and Transportation	0.950	2.218	0.479	2.285
Education and Leisure	5.676	6.693	1.512	6.518
Marine Services	0.569	5.325	0.163	5.375
Other Services	3.804	6.035	1.427	5.237
Non-HRA	15.339	20.860	3.579	19.990
HRA	2.537	6.956	1.090	2.612
Total capital expenditure	17.876	27.816	4.669	22.602

The revised budget for 2021/22 reflects the following changes since the TMSS was approved in February 2021:

- Addition of the purchase of a third tug at a cost of £3.335M.
- Slippage of £8.551M from financial year 2020/21 added to the 2021/22 capital programme.
- Kirkwall Care Home budget of £3.765M reprofiled from 2021/22 into future years due to delays with commencement of the project.
- Various other additions totalling £1.819M.

5.2 Changes to the Financing of the Capital Programme

The table below draws together the main strategy elements of the capital expenditure plans (above), highlighting the original supported and unsupported elements of the capital programme, and the expected financing arrangements of this capital expenditure. The borrowing element of the table increases the underlying indebtedness of the Council by way of the Capital Financing Requirement (CFR), although this will be reduced in part by revenue charges for the repayment of debt (the Minimum Revenue Provision). This direct borrowing need may also be supplemented by maturing debt and other treasury requirements.

Capital Expenditure	2021/22 Original Estimate £m	2021/22 Revised Estimate £m
Total capital expenditure	17.876	27.816
Financed by:		
Capital receipts	0.150	0.150
Capital grants	5.720	11.500
Capital reserves	4.340	2.252
Revenue	0.569	1.119
Total financing	10.779	15.021
Borrowing requirement	7.097	12.795

5.3 Changes to the Prudential Indicators for the Capital Financing Requirement (CFR), External Debt and the Operational Boundary

The table below shows the CFR, which is the underlying external need to incur borrowing for a capital purpose. It also shows the expected debt position over the period, which is termed the Operational Boundary.

Prudential Indicator – Capital Financing Requirement

We are no longer on target to achieve the forecast Capital Financing Requirement with current estimates for slippage on the capital programme being £5.214M by 31 March 2022, against a revised budget for financial year 2021/22 of £27.816M.

Prudential Indicator – the Operational Boundary for external debt

	2021/22 Original Estimate £m	2021/22 Revised Estimate £m
Prudential Indicator – Capital Financing Requirement		
CFR – non housing	51.708	49.628
CFR – housing	14.707	12.955
Total CFR	66.415	62.583
Net movement in CFR	4.869	10.751
Prudential Indicator – the Operational Boundary for external debt		
Borrowing	65.000	65.000
Total debt (year-end position)	35.085	35.085

5.4 Limits to Borrowing Activity

The first key control over the treasury activity is a prudential indicator to ensure that over the medium term, net borrowing (borrowings less investments) will only be for a capital purpose. **Gross external borrowing** should not, except in the short term, exceed the total of CFR in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional CFR for 2021/22 and next two financial years. This allows some flexibility for limited early borrowing for future years. The Council has approved a policy for borrowing in advance of need which will be adhered to if this proves prudent.

	2021/22 Original Estimate £m	2021/22 Revised Estimate £m
Borrowing	0	0
Total debt	35.085	35.085
CFR (year-end position)	66.415	62.583

A further prudential indicator controls the overall level of borrowing. This is **the Authorised Limit** which represents the limit beyond which borrowing is prohibited and needs to be set and revised by Members. It reflects the level of borrowing which, while not desired, could be afforded in the short term, but is not sustainable in the longer term. It is the expected maximum borrowing need with some headroom for unexpected movements. This is the statutory limit determined under section 3 (1) of the Local Government in Scotland Act 2003.

Authorised limit for external debt	2021/22 Original Indicator	2021/22 Revised Indicator
Borrowing	75.000	75.000
Total	75.000	75.000

6. Borrowing

The Council's capital financing requirement (CFR) for 2021/22 is £62.3583m. The CFR denotes the Council's underlying need to borrow for capital purposes. If the CFR is positive the Council may borrow from the PWLB or the market (external borrowing), or from internal balances on a temporary basis (internal borrowing). The balance of external and internal borrowing is generally driven by market conditions. Table 5.4 shows the Council has borrowings of £35.085m and has utilised £27.498m of cash flow funds in lieu of borrowing. This is a prudent and cost-effective approach in the current economic climate but will require ongoing monitoring in the event that any upside risk to gilt yields prevails.

Due to the overall financial position and the underlying need to borrow for capital purposes (the CFR), no new external borrowing has been undertaken in 2021/22. The capital programme is being kept under regular review due to the effects of coronavirus and shortages of materials and labour. Our borrowing strategy will, therefore, also be regularly reviewed and then revised, if necessary, in order to achieve optimum value and risk exposure in the long-term.

It is anticipated that further borrowing will not be undertaken during this financial year.

PWLB maturity certainty rates (gilts plus 80bps) year to date to 30 September 2021

Gilt yields and PWLB rates were on a falling trend between May and August. However, they rose sharply towards the end of September.

The 50-year PWLB target certainty rate for new long-term borrowing started 2021/22 at 1.90%, rose to 2.00% in May, fell to 1.70% in August and returned to 2.00% at the end of September after the MPC meeting of 23rd September.

- The current PWLB rates are set as margins over gilt yields as follows: -
 - **PWLB Standard Rate** is gilt plus 100 basis points (G+100bps)
 - **PWLB Certainty Rate** is gilt plus 80 basis points (G+80bps)
 - **PWLB HRA Standard Rate** is gilt plus 100 basis points (G+100bps)
 - **PWLB HRA Certainty Rate** is gilt plus 80bps (G+80bps)
 - **Local Infrastructure Rate** is gilt plus 60bps (G+60bps)

7. Debt Rescheduling

Debt rescheduling opportunities have been very limited in the current economic climate and following the various increases in the margins added to gilt yields which have impacted PWLB new borrowing rates since October 2010. No debt rescheduling has therefore been undertaken to date in the current financial year.

8. Compliance with Treasury and Prudential Limits

It is a statutory duty for the Council to determine and keep under review the affordable capital expenditure limits. During the half year ended 30 September 2021, the Council has operated within the treasury and prudential indicators set out in the Council's Treasury Management Strategy Statement for 2021/22. The Head of Finance reports that no difficulties are envisaged for the current or future years in complying with these indicators.

All treasury management operations have also been conducted in full compliance with the Council's Treasury Management Practices.

9. Annual investment strategy

The Treasury Management Strategy Statement (TMSS) for 2021/22, which includes the Annual Investment Strategy, was approved by the Council on 16 February 2021. In accordance with the CIPFA Treasury Management Code of Practice, it sets out the Council's investment priorities as being:

- Security of capital
- Liquidity
- Yield

The Council will aim to achieve the optimum return (yield) on its investments commensurate with proper levels of security and liquidity and with the Council's risk appetite. In the current economic climate, it is considered appropriate to keep investments short term to cover cash flow needs, but also to seek out value available in periods up to 12 months with high credit rated financial institutions, using the Link suggested creditworthiness approach, including a minimum sovereign credit rating and Credit Default Swap (CDS) overlay information.

As shown by the interest rate forecasts in section 3.2, it is now impossible to earn the level of interest rates commonly seen in previous decades as all short-term money market investment rates have only risen weakly since Bank Rate was cut to 0.10% in March 2020 until the MPC meeting on 24 September 2021 when 6- and 12-month rates rose in anticipation of Bank Rate going up in 2022. Given this environment and the fact that Bank Rate may only rise marginally, or not at all, before mid-2023, investment returns are expected to remain low.

Creditworthiness.

Significant levels of downgrades to Short- and Long-Term credit ratings have not materialised since the crisis in March 2020. In the main, where they did change, any alterations were limited to Outlooks. However, as economies are beginning to reopen, there have been some instances of previous lowering of Outlooks being reversed.

Investment Counterparty criteria

The current investment counterparty criteria selection approved in the TMSS is meeting the requirement of the treasury management function.

CDS prices

Although CDS prices (these are market indicators of credit risk) for banks (including those from the UK) spiked at the outset of the pandemic in 2020, they have subsequently returned to near pre-pandemic levels. **However, sentiment can easily shift, so it remains important to undertake continual monitoring of all aspects of risk and return in the current circumstances.**

Investment balances

The average level of funds available for investment purposes during the quarter was **£31.133m**. These funds were available on a temporary basis, and the level of funds available was mainly dependent on the timing of precept payments, receipt of grants and progress on the capital programme. The temporary loans portfolio has operated within policy during financial year 2021/22 and has realised a return of 0.23% as at 30 September 2021. This is ahead of the average 3 months LIBOR (London Inter-bank Offered Rate) performance benchmark (0.08%) and is therefore considered an acceptable return.

Treasury Portfolio investments held at 30 September 2021:

Treasury Investments – Managed in house	Principal (£m)	Interest Rate	Maturity Date
National Westminster Bank Plc	3.000	0.14	30/12/2021
Santander UK Plc	2.000	0.38	15/02/2022
National Westminster Bank Plc	3.000	0.14%	09/03/2022
National Westminster Bank Plc	1.000	0.16%	18/05/2022
Warrington Borough Council	3.000	0.10%	08/06/2022
Toronto Dominion Bank	3.000	0.20%	02/09/2022
Santander UK Plc	6.000	0.58%	180-day notice
Aberdeen Standard Investments Money Market Fund	5.200	0.01%	Call
Blackrock ICS Heritage Shared Money Market Fund	1.500	0.005%	Call
The Royal Bank of Scotland	6.300	0.01%	Call
Total investments	34.000		

Non-Treasury Strategic Reserve Fund Local Investments – Managed in-house	Actual (£m)	Performance in 20/21
Fishing Quota	3.035	4.8%
Private Companies	5.689	5.0%
Other	0.013	0.0%
Total Strategic Reserve Fund Investments – Managed in-house	8.737	

Treasury Strategic Reserve Fund – Managed externally	Actual (£m)	Performance Quarter ending 30/09/21	Benchmark
Equity Portfolio	55.800	-0.5%	2.8%
Global Equity Portfolio	54.100	-0.8%	2.0%
Diversified Growth Fund	45.300	0.5%	0.8%
High Yield Credit Strategies Fund	23.000	1.0%	1.3%
Private Loan Fund	9.000	n/a	n/a
UK Property Fund	24.500	3.7%	4.5%
Secured Income Fund	13.000	n/a	n/a
Corporate Bonds Fund	46.500	-1.2%	-1.1%
Total investments	271.200		

Approved limits

Officers can confirm that the approved limits within the Annual Investment Strategy were not breached during the period ended 30 September 2021.

No performance figures are provided where full drawdown of the Council's commitment has not been concluded.

10. Other


1. Changes in risk appetite

The 2018 CIPFA Codes and guidance notes have placed enhanced importance on risk management. Where an authority changes its risk appetite e.g., for moving surplus cash into or out of certain types of investment funds or other types of investment instruments, this change in risk appetite and policy should be brought to members' attention in treasury management update reports.

No changes have taken place during financial year 2021/22 however it should be noted that the on 28 February 2019, the Investments Sub-committee reviewed the current investment strategy and resolved to further diversify into Illiquid Debt and Secured Income by way of direct investment to a pooled fund. It was further resolved that the equity allocation be split on a 50/50 basis between funds held on a growth basis, with a newly appointed Fund Manager, whilst retaining the existing Fund Manager on a simplified single global equity strategy with the existing value style bias. The Corporate Bonds allocation will be transferred to a specialist passive manager. These diversifications will be matched by a proportionate reduction in growth assets.


The onboarding process for the new mandates commenced in 2019/20, continued in 2020/21 and is on-going in 2021/22, albeit at a slower rate than originally envisaged due to Covid-19 related volatility being experienced in the markets. To date the full transfers to a growth style Fund Manager and a specialist Passive Bond Manager are complete. The drawdown of commitments in a Private Loan Fund and an Income Fund have commenced and will continue during 2021/22.

APPENDIX 1: Borrowing rates



	1 Year	5 Year	10 Year	25 Year	50 Year
Low	0.78%	1.05%	1.39%	1.75%	1.49%
Date	08/04/2021	08/07/2021	05/08/2021	17/08/2021	10/08/2021
High	0.98%	1.42%	1.81%	2.27%	2.06%
Date	24/09/2021	28/09/2021	28/09/2021	13/05/2021	13/05/2021
Average	0.84%	1.16%	1.60%	2.02%	1.81%
Spread	0.20%	0.37%	0.42%	0.52%	0.57%

PWLB Certainty Rate Variations 1.4.21 to 30.9.2021



PWLB RATES. There was much speculation during the **second half of 2019** that bond markets were in a bubble which was driving bond prices up and yields down to historically very low levels. The context for that was heightened expectations that the US could have been heading for a recession in 2020. In addition, there were growing expectations of a downturn in world economic growth, especially due to fears around the impact of the trade war between the US and China, together with inflation generally at low levels in most countries and expected to remain subdued. Combined, these conditions were conducive to very low bond yields. While inflation targeting by the major central banks has been successful over the last 30 years in lowering inflation expectations, the real equilibrium rate for central rates has fallen considerably due to the high level of borrowing by consumers. This means that central banks do not need to raise rates as much now to have a major impact on consumer spending, inflation, etc. The consequence of this has been **the gradual lowering of the overall level of interest rates and bond yields in financial markets.** Over the year prior to the coronavirus crisis, this resulted in many bonds yields up to 10 years turning negative in the Eurozone. In addition, there was, at times, an inversion of bond yields in the US whereby 10-year yields fell below shorter-term yields. In the past, this has been a precursor of a recession.

Gilt yields had, therefore, already been on a generally falling trend up until the coronavirus crisis hit western economies during March 2020 which caused gilt yields to spike up. However, yields then fell sharply in response to major western central banks taking rapid policy action to deal with excessive stress in financial markets during March and starting massive quantitative easing driven purchases of government bonds: these actions also acted to put downward pressure on government bond yields at a time when there was a huge and quick expansion of government expenditure financed by issuing government bonds. Such unprecedented levels of issuance in “normal” times would have caused bond yields to rise sharply.

At the start of January 2021, all gilt yields from 1 to 8 years were negative: however, since then all gilt yields have become positive and rose sharply during the spring, especially in medium and longer-term periods, until starting a significant decline since May which was then sharply reversed in August / September. Repeated assurances by the Fed in the US, and by other major world central banks, that inflation would spike up after Covid restrictions were abolished, but would only be transitory, allayed investor fears until August / September when high inflation was again seen as a growing danger and both central banks in the US and UK gave indications that monetary policy tightening was now on the horizon. **There is considerable concern that the US Fed is taking a too laid-back view that inflation pressures in the US are purely transitory and that they will subside without the need for the Fed to take significant action to tighten monetary policy.**

Lack of spare economic capacity and rising inflationary pressures are viewed as being much greater dangers in the US than in the UK. This could mean that rates will end up rising faster and further in the US than in the UK if inflationary pressures were to escalate; the consequent increases in treasury yields could well spill over to cause (lesser) increases in gilt yields.

Correlation between 10-year US treasury yield and 10-year gilt yield

The Link Group forecasts have included a risk of a 75% correlation between movements in US treasury yields and gilt yields over 10 years since 2011. As US treasury yields are expected to rise faster and further than UK gilt yields, there is an upside risk to forecasts for gilt yields due to this correlation. The graph below shows actual movements in both 10-year yields and forecasts by Link (gilt only) and Capital Economics.



- Yields on 10-year Gilts and Treasuries initially both fell during the first quarter of 2020, as signs emerged that the COVID-19 virus would become a global pandemic which would lead to a sharp downturn in economic growth.
- The correlation between 10-year yields in the UK and the US lessened during the second half of 2020 when US yields displayed an increasing tendency to rise, whilst UK yields remained more range bound. This divergence was consistent with the relatively better economic performance registered by the US during the pandemic, which was aided by historically low US business inventory levels needing to be rebuilt.
- During late 2020 gilt yields rose significantly, reflecting optimism that the fast vaccine roll-out in the UK would support a strong economic recovery during 2021.
- During September 2021, treasury yields rose sharply in response to growing investor concerns around high inflation and indications from the Fed that tapering of quantitative easing purchases of treasuries are likely to occur in the near future. Gilts also rose sharply, as did investor concerns around a sharp increase in inflation in the UK which is now likely to go over 4%. In addition, the MPC meeting on 23rd September flagged up major concerns around the strength of inflation which may require Bank Rate to go up much faster than had previously been expected.

APPENDIX 2: Approved countries for investments as at 30 September 2021

Based on lowest available rating

AAA

- Australia
- Denmark
- Germany
- Luxembourg
- Netherlands
- Norway
- Singapore
- Sweden
- Switzerland

AA+

- Canada
- Finland
- U.S.A.

AA

- Abu Dhabi (UAE)
- France

AA-

- Belgium
- Hong Kong
- Qatar
- **U.K.**